

Lecture 09: Next-bit Unpredictability

Consider two distributions X and Y over the sample space Ω . The distributions X and Y are ε -indistinguishable from each other if:

- For all algorithms $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ the following holds

$$|\Pr[\mathcal{A}(X) = 1] - \Pr[\mathcal{A}(Y) = 1]| \leq \varepsilon$$

- This is also equivalent to the following: For all algorithms $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ the following holds

$$\text{SD}(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}(Y)) \leq \varepsilon$$

- Represented by $X \approx_{\varepsilon} Y$
- Think: Why are these equivalent?

Definition

The distributions X and Y over the sample space Ω are ϵ -computationally indistinguishable, if: For all efficient algorithms $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ the following holds

$$SD(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}(Y)) \leq \epsilon$$

Represented by $X \approx_{\epsilon}^{(c)} Y$

Definition (Negligible)

A function $\varepsilon: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a negligible function if for all constant c , (eventually) we have $\varepsilon(n) \leq 1/n^c$.

- The term “eventually” can be ignored for this class
- Intuition: A negligible function is smaller than all inverse-polynomial functions
- Negligible function examples: $\frac{1}{2^n}$, $\frac{1}{2^{\sqrt{n}}}$, $\frac{1}{2^{\log^2 n}}$
- Non-negligible function examples: $\frac{1}{n^{100}}$, $\frac{1}{2^{\log n}}$

Definition (Pseudo-random Generators)

An efficient function $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+\ell}$ is a pseudo-random generator, if $\ell \geq 1$ and there exists a negligible ε such that

$$\text{SD} \left(G(U_{\{0,1\}^n}), U_{\{0,1\}^{n+\ell}} \right) \leq \varepsilon$$

- We write ε instead of $\varepsilon(n)$ for brevity
- Intuition: Any computationally bounded adversary cannot distinguish the output of G from a uniform distribution

Next-bit Unpredictability

A string $y_1 \dots y_i$ sampled according to a distribution X has next-bit unpredictability if the following is satisfied.

Consider the game between an honest challenger and an arbitrary efficient adversary \mathcal{A}

- The honest challenge \mathcal{H} samples $\equiv y_1 \dots y_i \sim X$. Let $\alpha = y_1 \dots y_{i-1}$ and sample $b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}$. If $b = 0$, define $\beta = y_i$; otherwise define $\beta \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}$. Send (α, β) to the adversary \mathcal{A} .
- The adversary replies back with a bit \tilde{b} .
- The adversary wins the game if $b = \tilde{b}$. The honest challenger \mathcal{H} sets $z = 1$ if $b = \tilde{b}$; otherwise $z = 0$. Output z .
- The advantage of \mathcal{A} is negligible, i.e. there is a negligible function ε such that $|\Pr[z = 1] - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \varepsilon$

Next-bit Unpredictable PRG

- Let X be a distribution over the sample space $\{0, 1\}^n$
- We use $X_{\leq i}$ to represent the distribution of first i -bits of X
- In particular, $G(U_n)_{\leq i}$ represents the distribution of the first i -bits of the output of the function G with n -bit uniformly random string as input.

Definition (Next-bit Unpredictable PRG)

An efficient function $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+\ell}$, for $\ell \geq 1$, is a next-bit unpredictable PRG if, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n + \ell\}$, the distribution $G(U_n)_{\leq i}$ is next-bit unpredictable.

Theorem

For an efficient function $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+\ell}$, where $\ell \geq 1$, the following two statements are equivalent:

- 1 For arbitrary efficient \mathcal{A} , there exists a negligible ε such that
$$\text{SD} \left(\mathcal{A}(G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})), \mathcal{A}(U_{\{0,1\}^{n+\ell}}) \right) \leq \varepsilon$$
 - 2 For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n + \ell\}$ the distribution $G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})_{\leq i}$ is next-bit unpredictable
- To show this theorem, we will have to show “(1) \implies (2)” and “(2) \implies (1)”

(1) \implies (2)

- This direction should be easy. We expect that a pseudo-random string should definitely satisfy the condition that “given its first $(i - 1)$ -bits the next bit is completely unpredictable” (because uniformly random bits have this property as well)
- We will prove the contrapositive: “ $\neg(2) \implies \neg(1)$ ”
- Mathematically, $\neg(2)$ gives us: There exists i such that $G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})_{\leq i}$ is next-bit predictable. That is, there exists an adversary \mathcal{A}^* that has advantage $1/n^c$ in the next-bit unpredictability experiment.
- Target Mathematical Statement: We need to show $\neg(1)$. This is equivalent to constructing an adversary $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\text{SD} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})), \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_{\{0,1\}^{n+\ell}}) \right)$ is at least an inverse polynomial.

(1) \implies (2)

Adversary $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ construction: On input $y_1 \dots y_{n+l}$ the adversary $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ does the following:

- Define $\alpha = y_1 \dots y_{i-1}$
- Pick $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$
- If $b = 0$, set $\beta = y_i$; otherwise $\beta \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$.
- Send (α, β) to \mathcal{A}^*
- Receive \tilde{b} from \mathcal{A}^*
- Output $z = 1$ if $b = \tilde{b}$; otherwise $z = 0$.

(1) \implies (2)

Suppose $y_1 \dots y_{n+\ell}$ is sampled from $U_{n+\ell}$

- For every fixed value of α , the value of β is a uniform independent random bit irrespective of whether $b = 0$ or $b = 1$
- So, in this case, $\Pr[z = 1] = 1/2$
- The output of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is identical to the $U_{\{0,1\}}$ random variable
- Equivalently $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_{\{0,1\}}^{n+\ell}) = U_{\{0,1\}}$

(1) \implies (2)

Suppose $y_1 \dots y_{n+\ell}$ is sampled from $G(U_n)$

- In this case, the output of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is identical to the output of the next-bit unpredictability experiment between the honest challenger \mathcal{H} and the adversary \mathcal{A}^*
- In this case, we know that the advantage of \mathcal{A}^* is $1/n^c$
- That is, we know that $\Pr[z = 1] = \frac{1}{2} + 1/n^c$
- The output of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a distribution that outputs 0 with probability $\frac{1}{2} - 1/n^c$ and outputs 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2} + 1/n^c$. For brevity we will call it the $(\frac{1}{2} - 1/n^c, \frac{1}{2} + 1/n^c)$ distribution.
- Equivalently $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})) = (\frac{1}{2} - 1/n^c, \frac{1}{2} + 1/n^c)$

(1) \implies (2)

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SD} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(G(U_{\{0,1\}^n})), \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_{\{0,1\}^{n+\ell}}) \right) &= \text{SD} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - 1/n^c, \frac{1}{2} + 1/n^c \right), U_{\{0,1\}} \right) \\ &= 1/n^c \end{aligned}$$

So, we have shown that the efficient adversary $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ can distinguish the output of a PRG from a uniform distribution. This completes the proof in one direction.